Agenda

1. Call to Order............................................................................................................................................Stan Goldsmith, Chair

2. Approval of the March 19, 2015 Meeting Minutes..............................................................................Stan Goldsmith, Chair

3. Public Hearing and Consideration and Approval of an Amendment to the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program Fiscal Years 2015 – 2018 (CVTIP).................................................................Bob White, Deputy Director

4. Consideration and Approval of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Unified Planning Work Program Fiscal Year 2016........................................................................................................Bob White, Deputy Director

5. Consideration of Support for the Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living, Inc. and Heart Heavens’ Federal Transit Administration Funding Requests........................................Bob White, Deputy Director

6. Status Report and Discussion of the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040........................................................................................................................................Bob White, Deputy Director

7. Presentation: VTrans Multimodal Transportation Plan and Needs Assessment......................................Vladimir Gavrilovic, Principal, Renaissance Planning

8. Opportunity for Public Comment..................................................................................................................Stan Goldsmith, Chair

9. Matters from the Members..............................................................................................................................All

10. Adjournment..................................................................................................................................................Stan Goldsmith, Chair

11. Information Items

The CVMPO ensures nondiscrimination and equal employment in all programs and activities in accordance with Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. If you have questions or concerns about your civil rights in regards to this project or need special assistance for persons with disabilities or limited English proficiency, please contact the CVMPO. Sign language or non-English language interpreters will be provided if needed and requested in advance of this meeting. Please contact the CVMPO at 434-845-5678 to request an interpreter.
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization (CVMPO)

April 16, 2015

Executive Director’s Report

1. Call to Order

2. Approval of the March 19, 2015 Meeting Minutes
   *(See attachment 2)*

   The minutes of the March 19, 2015 meeting of the CVMPO are attached for your review and approval.

   **Recommendation:** Staff recommends approval.

3. Public Hearing and Consideration and Approval of an Amendment to the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program Fiscal Years 2015 – 2018 (CVTIP)
   *(See attachments 3a and 3b)*

   The Greater Lynchburg Transit Company (GLTC) and the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) are requesting amendments to the CVTIP. GLTC is requesting an amendment to Section 4 of the CVTIP in order to properly align funding with current capital needs. The total funding amount for GTLC in Section 4 of the CVTIP will remain the same.

   VDOT requests amending the CVTIP to add the addition of two rail safety projects: one at Goodman Crossing Road in Campbell County and a second for safety improvements at various crossings in Lynchburg. Currently there is no Construction: Rail grouping for the CVTIP. This amendment will provide for its inclusion as well as inclusion of the identified projects.

   A narrative illustrating the changes to the CVTIP is attached. Additionally, a resolution amending the CVTIP is attached.

   A thirty day comment period is in effect for this matter; comments received will be provided at the meeting. A public hearing is required.

   **Recommendation:** Staff recommends approval.
4. Consideration and Approval of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Unified Planning Work Program Fiscal Year 2016 (UPWP)

(See attachments 4a, 4b, and 4c)

The CVMPO’s UPWP for FY 16 is presented for the members’ consideration and approval. The UPWP is the document that will guide the staff activities for the coming year. Projects of note in the UPWP include completing the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040 update, undertaking the Rt. 811 Corridor Study, supporting the CVMPO’s efforts to address HB2, and continuing our efforts related to alternative transportation promotion (bicycle and pedestrian opportunities).

Additionally, planning assistance is provided to the GLTC, including maintaining its bus stop database, completing a comprehensive ridership survey, continuing efforts to consolidate bus stops, and supporting the transit development planning effort.

The Transportation Technical Committee (TTC) has reviewed and recommends the UPWP be adopted. Two resolutions of support (attached) are needed for approval of this document: one resolution is for the Virginia Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration; the second resolution is for the Virginia Department of Rails and Public Transportation and the Federal Transit Administration.

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval.

5. Consideration of Support for the Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living, Inc. and Heart Heavens’ Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funding Requests

(See attachments 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d)

The Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living, Inc. (Alliance) is requesting CVMPO support for two grant applications through the FTA. The first request is through the FTA 5310 program for capital assistance. The requested funding will be used to purchase two handicap accessible mini-vans and two 14-passenger vans with lift. This grant will allow for an increase and replacement of rolling stock that currently provides demand responsive transportation for elderly and disabled clients within the region. Total grant request: $160,000; eighty percent federal funds; twenty percent Alliance funds.

The second grant request is through the FTA 5317 program for operating expenses for the Alliance’s New Freedom program. Funding will be used to supplement operating expenses for the transportation of disabled individuals to necessary appointments, such as medical appointments, grocery stores, and other locations. Total cost: $89,000; ninety percent federal funds; ten percent Alliance funds.

Heart Havens is requesting CVMPO support for its application for FTA 5310 grant
Funds. The request is for capital assistance to purchase three 9-passenger vans with handicapped-accessible lifts. These vehicles will be used to transport individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities to and from their homes. Total cost: $105,000; eighty percent federal share; twenty percent agency share.

Attached are letters and supporting materials from the respective agencies providing background. A resolution supporting these requests is attached.

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval.

6. Status Report and Discussion of the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040
(See attachment 6)

The CVMPO will continue its discussion of the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040. Staff and our consultants, EPR, will present the draft project evaluation results and priority projects identification as well as a project schedule update. An HB2 update will also be provided. The attached MPO briefing packet provides material to support this discussion.

Recommendation: Participate in the discussion.

7. Presentation: VTrans Multimodal Transportation Plan and Needs Assessment
(See attachment 7)

Vladimir Gavrilovic, Principal, Renaissance Planning, (consultant for the Commonwealth’s Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment-OIPI) will provide an introduction to the statewide Needs Assessment process that is part of the Commonwealth’s VTrans Multimodal Transportation Plan (VMTP). As part of this process, Renaissance Planning will be engaging with the CVMPO and staff in a series of monthly meetings between now and July of this year.

The statewide transportation plan (VTrans2040) includes two complimentary documents. The first is the VTrans Vision plan, which resulted from an extensive stakeholder and outreach process and has been released for public comment.

The second part is the Multimodal Transportation Plan, which is now being kicked off. The purpose of the VMTP is not only to create a multimodal plan to guide Virginia’s planning and programing efforts, but is also to conduct a Needs Assessment for 2025, which will be the first level of screening for the HB2 process. According to legislation, any projects that are eligible for funding through HB2 must meet a need identified in the VMTP.
Under the auspices of the OIPI, Renaissance Planning will be working in the coming months to identify regional desires for economic development and multimodal travel needs. Attached is background information on this matter.

Recommendation: Receive the presentation.

8. Opportunity for Public Comment

9. Matters from the Members

10. Adjournment

11. Information Items

   a. The CVMPO will be meeting at 4:00 p.m. on the following dates, unless otherwise noted or notified.
      - July 16, 2015
      - October 15, 2015
      - January 21, 2016
      - April 21, 2016

   b. The attached list (See Attachment 11b) identifies Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program (CVTIP) amendments and adjustments accomplished. The amendments have been approved by the CVMPO. The adjustments have been approved by the staff in accordance with the MPO Adjustment Agreement, dated July 21, 2005. These adjustments do not require CVMPO approval since they are not new projects, but rather are projects that have adjustments made primarily to their funding stream or schedule.

   c. Letter to Secretary Aubrey Layne, Secretary of Transportation for the Commonwealth of Virginia, dated March 23, 2015 (See attachment 11c) regarding CVMPO comments on the Statewide Prioritization Process.
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization
828 Main Street, 12th Floor
Lynchburg, Virginia 24504
March 19th, 2015 at 4:00 pm
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Gary Christie ........................................................................................Local Government Council
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Shannon Valentine ...............................................................................Commonwealth Transportation Board Member
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Bob White ..............................................................................................Local Government Council
Rick Youngblood ................................................................................Lynchburg VDOT District

MINUTES

1. Call to Order
   Stan Goldsmith, Chair, called the meeting to order at 4:00 pm

2. Approval of the November 20, 2014 Meeting Minutes
Upon a motion by William Mays to approve the minutes of November 20, 2014, seconded by Chris Winstead, the minutes of November 20, 2014 were approved unanimously.

3. Status Report and Discussion of the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040 Update

Bob White opened with introduction and welcome of Shannon Valentine, the Lynchburg District representative to the Commonwealth Transportation Board. White introduced the matters to be discussed relative to the CVLRTP 2040 update.

Vlad Gavrilovic introduced and provided information pertaining to HB2 and its relationship to the current CVLRTP 2040 update.

Bill Wuensch presented information regarding performance factors of the CVLRTP 2040 update and how they related to those of HB2. Wuensch reviewed factors such as safety, congestion, accessibility, environmental justice, and economic development. Wuensch also discussed and presented the HB2 weighting categories/typologies that have been proposed and those MPO areas that have been preliminarily placed in each category.

The MPO members, and those present, discussed at length, the weighting for the proposed categories suggested by the CTB as they relate to the region.

Upon the motion by Kim Payne to direct staff to communicate with the Commonwealth Transportation Board the consensus of the CVMPO, that being, 1) the Metropolitan Planning Organizations should be given deference in determining their weighting percentages for the evaluation factors set forth by HB2, as the CTB develops its prioritization process; the four categories proposed by the CTB at this time are insufficient for describing and evaluating our regional priorities, 2) the MPOs should have the opportunity to reset their priorities, periodically, as circumstances within a region change over time; and 3) the weighting recommendations proposed by the CVMPO’s Transportation Technical Committee should be used for scoring projects in our area, as they most accurately reflect our region’s priorities. These recommendations generally relate to the HB2 factors as follows: Economic Development 25%, Safety 25%, Congestion Management 20%, Accessibility 15%, and Environmental Quality 15%, seconded by Mark Reeter, this motion passed with unanimously support.

Note:
Due to time considerations and constraint of schedule, the remaining items from the Agenda will be carried over to the meeting of the CVMPO scheduled for April 16, 2015.

4. Adjournment

Upon a motion by William Mays to adjourn, Stan Goldsmith, Chair, with no objections, adjourned the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

Signed: _____________________________ Date: ___________________
### Narrative of Changes to the CVTIP FY15-18

There are 2 changes associated with this amendment proposed on April 16, 2015:

1. GLTC is requesting an MPO amendment to Section 4 of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Organization’s Transportation Improvement Program FY15-18 (CVTIP hereafter) in order to properly align funding with current capital needs. The total funding amount for GTLC in Section 4 of the CVTIP will remain the same.

The funding amounts in red cells are recommended to be removed entirely from the CVTIP. The funding amounts in green cells are recommended to be added. All funding amounts in green cells have $0 funding in the current CVTIP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STIP ID:</th>
<th>GLTC027</th>
<th>Title: Radios</th>
<th>Recipient: Greater Lynchburg Transit Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Total Funds: 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STIP ID:</th>
<th>GLTC031</th>
<th>Title: Surveillance/Security Equipment</th>
<th>Recipient: Greater Lynchburg Transit Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Total Funds: 73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The funding amounts in yellow cells indicate funding amounts that would change from the current CVTIP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STIP ID:</th>
<th>GLTC021</th>
<th>Title: Purchase Support Vehicles</th>
<th>Recipient: Greater Lynchburg Transit Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Total Funds: 401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STIP ID:</th>
<th>GLTC021</th>
<th>Title: Purchase Support Vehicles</th>
<th>Recipient: Greater Lynchburg Transit Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Total Funds: 362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. This amendment also proposes the addition of two rail projects. Currently there is no Construction: Rail grouping for Lynchburg in the STIP. The following description would be added to the “Project Groupings” section of the TIP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY15</th>
<th>FY16</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal • STP/RAIL</td>
<td>$106,569</td>
<td>$977,123</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Note</td>
<td>TIP Amd to add CN: Rail Grouping; add $977,123 (STP) FFY15 CN phase. (Inc 3/6/15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The descriptions for the two rail projects are proposed to be added to Appendix A of the TIP as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street/Route</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Campbell County, GOODMAN CROSSING ROAD ($26)</td>
<td>ROUTE 620 UPGRADE FLASHING LIGHTS &amp; GATES, CWT PRED, UNIDIRECT &amp; APPR</td>
<td>$442,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: 86 FT. EAST OF ROUTE 713 TO NERR CROSSING #713912F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Lynchburg, VARIOUS (9999)</td>
<td>CITYWIDE - INSTALL FLASHING LIGHTS AND GATES WITH CWT PREDICTOR</td>
<td>$642,716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION OF THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TO AMEND THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL YEARS 2015 – 2018

WHEREAS, the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program (CVTIP) is required to be submitted to the Virginia Department of Transportation for inclusion in the State Transportation Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, inclusion of transportation projects in the CVTIP is a condition of federal participation in the funding of projects and programs; and

WHEREAS, this amendment includes funding realignments in Section 4 of the CVTIP—seen in attached “Narrative of Changes to the CVTIP FY15-18” document—to meet current capital needs of the Greater Lynchburg Transit Company (GLTC); and

WHEREAS, this amendment includes the addition of two rail projects into Section 3 of the CVTIP—seen in attached “Narrative of Changes to the CVTIP FY15-18” document; and

WHEREAS, public input has been sought in amending the CVTIP as required.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization does hereby approve the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program Fiscal Years 2015-2018, as amended and dated April 16, 2015.

Upon motion by Member ________________________________, duly seconded by Member ________________________________, approved this 16th day of April 2015.

ATTESTED BY:                                  CERTIFIED BY:

______________________________                        ________________________________
Gary F. Christie, Secretary                      Stan Goldsmith, Chair
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization        Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization
CENTRAL VIRGINIA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (CVMPO)

828 Main Street, 12th Floor
Lynchburg, VA 24504
434-845-3491

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)

Fiscal Year (FY) 2016

TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL COMMITTEE (TTC)
RECOMMENDATION: March 5, 2015

CVMPO ADOPTION: April 16, 2015 (Pending)

Funding provided by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) and Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization (CVMPO) Local Funds

The CVMPO ensures nondiscrimination and equal employment in all programs and activities in accordance with Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. If you have questions or concerns about your civil rights in regards to this project or special assistance for persons with disabilities or limited English proficiency, please contact the CVMPO. Sign language or non-English language interpreters will be provided if needed and requested in advance of this meeting. Please contact the CVMPO at 434-845-5678 to request an interpreter no later than 14 days prior to meeting.
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SECTION I

FHWA, VDOT, CVMPO FUNDED ACTIVITIES

1.00 ADMINISTRATION

1.01 General Administration & Operations

Description: This task includes ongoing activities that ensure proper management and operation of the continuing, comprehensive, and coordinated (3-C) planning process. The objectives of this task are to implement the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) throughout the fiscal year; provide all required administrative functions, including all accounting, personnel, contract, and office administration; and to support the activities of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization (CVMPO) through preparation of agendas, attendance, and scheduling meetings, reports, minutes, and other duties as needed for the CVMPO board and subcommittees. Additionally, staff training is a component of this task.

Products: Efficient office operation, accurate financial information, preparation of quarterly reports and billings, as well as the various direct and indirect supporting roles to the CVMPO. A year end work summary will also be provided.

Additionally, this activity provides for staff training to enhance the transportation planning process, such as attendance at Virginia Chapter of the American Planning Association (VAPA) conferences, geographic information system (GIS) conferences, bicycle and pedestrian seminars, and other opportunities as identified. Funding for membership in the Virginia Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations is also available.

Budget: $44,000: staff
Completion Date: June 30, 2016

1.02 Work Program Administration

Description: To meet the requirements of 23 CFR Part 420 and 23 CFR Part 450, the CVMPO, in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), is responsible for the development of a UPWP. This UPWP describes all regional transportation planning activities anticipated in the CVMPO area, which will utilize Federal funding. The UPWP also identifies state and local matching dollars for these Federal planning programs.

Products: UPWP for FY 2017 and amendments to the FY 2016 UPWP.
2.0 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

2.01 Long Range Transportation Plan Updates

Description: This task allows for the continuation of the update to Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan (Plan) as well as the review, explanation, and update of the current Plan. Contract management is an element of this effort.

Additionally, this task allows for banking of funds to support the update.

Further, this task allows for the response to MAP-21 requirements and HB2 and its prioritization efforts.

Products:
- Contract management for the update
- Staff support to the update, MAP-21 compliance, and HB2’s prioritization efforts
- Plan amendments, as necessary
- Banking of funds for the update

Budget: $56,000; $16,000 staff; $40,000 consultant
Completion Date: ongoing through June 30, 2016

2.02 Transportation Improvement Program

Description: Maintenance of the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), preparation of TIP amendments, and intergovernmental review of transportation projects.

Products: Approved TIP, TIP amendments, and intergovernmental review of transportation projects

Budget: $5,000; staff
Completion Date: June 30, 2016

3.0 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

3.01 General Technical Assistance (General Development and Comprehensive Planning)
Description: This task allows for assistance to localities on transportation related activities on an individual basis or CVMPO basis, as needed. This task includes, but is not limited to, providing transportation technical input and resources to the CVMPO’s localities to improve the overall effectiveness and efficiency of the transportation network; promoting improved integration of the land use and transportation planning processes; assisting with the update of local comprehensive plans to address the transportation elements and ensure land use and other elements are consistent with the statewide transportation plan and other planning documents; and other duties as requested by VDOT, FHWA, and the CVMPO.

This task allows for the development and provision of Geographic Information System (GIS) information for use with transportation projects and planning efforts. This task also provides for the enhancement and maintenance of the CVMPO transportation component of the Local Government Council’s website.

This task will provide staff support for transportation related grant writing by member jurisdictions, such as transportation enhancement program and safe routes to school program grants, and multimodal applications.

This task will allow for assistance to the Town of Amherst in creating a plan for wayfinding signage within the town and immediate vicinity. This activity will not include graphic design or development of construction/implementation specifications or documents.

And, finally, this task will provide for flexible staff time to assist with issues that arise during the fiscal year, as well as short term projects where a locality may need assistance.

Products:

- Development and submittal of transportation related grant applications, as needed;
- Enhanced and maintained transportation component of the Council website to include the annual work program, Long Range Transportation Plan, Transportation Improvement Program, project data, transportation studies, meeting information, public participation plan, and related information in compliance with MAP-21;
- Assistance to localities in the development of transportation priorities for the CVMPO and region;
- Creation of GIS data and maps for transportation planning activities and grants;
- Assistance on comprehensive plan transportation elements;
- Amherst Town wayfinding signage plan;
- Assistance on other transportation related matters.
3.02 Region 2000 Alternative Transportation Initiative

The following is a continuation of LGC staff efforts to continue the safe expansion of alternative transportation planning and implementation activities in Region 2000.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Program and Facility Support Initiatives

LGC Bike/Ped component of LGC website

Description: Staff will maintain and contribute to the dedicated section within the LGC website devoted to bicycle, pedestrian, and transit activity. Staff will be responsible for ensuring this section reflects local activities, events, and articles related to SRTS, local rides, and links to additional regional alternative transportation links (GLTC, RIDE Solutions, bike shops, etc.).


Grant Submittal

Description: Apply for on behalf of, or contribute to, Region 2000 locality or organization grants that seek funding towards alternative transportation initiatives including technical assistance, planning, or facility implementation. Potential grant assistance activities include: Assist Lynchburg City in TAP application(s), preliminary project considerations include Millrace and Nationwide Drives and assist with anticipated TIGER grant submittal for Downtown Complete Street application.

Product: Copy of application or summary of contribution/partnership efforts and full summary of results.

Bike Week

Description: Activities to host the annual Greater Lynchburg Bike Month (extended to the full month of May) and Clean Commute Challenge. This is the premier event, with 2016 marking the 6th year, to promote the use and development of pedestrian and bicycle facilities and highlight all forms of alternative transportation in the Region 2000 area. Region 2000 and RIDE Solutions serve as the hosting entities for Bike Month activities.

Product: Summary of Bike Month/Clean Commute Challenge which will include details on events, participants, sponsors, donated hours, volunteers, etc.
Lynchburg Area Greenway Alliance – name/appointment and role to be evaluated and formalized in early FY2016

Description: Provide ½ staff support to the Lynchburg Area Greenway Alliance. Support will be for those meeting activities, meeting summaries, and reporting as required to the TTC, CVMPO, LGC, and VDOT in execution of bike/pedestrian activities.

Product: Summary of Activities

Lynchburg City – Neighborhood Alternative Transportation Connection Plan

Description: This project will establish neighborhood or community sector circulation, or loop, connection routes. It was noted that, given that the small section of repaving sections, that accommodation implementation could be spotty without this perspective. The City is requesting the identification of smaller circulation routes, within community sectors, be identified and prioritized to identify full implementation loops. These circulation routes could incorporate bicycle, pedestrian and transit and lead to a higher percentage of signage and on-the-ground implementation.


Bike/Pedestrian Annual Report

Description: Develop annual report that summarizes all the alternative transportation events, activities, planning, and facility implementation within the Region 2000. The document will include a detailed account of public and private contribution during the year; will include a highlight story of a key business or individual that was instrumental in the bicycle/pedestrian/alternative transportation activities (education, outreach, development, transit).

Product: A photo-ready quality document/brochure that highlights Region 2000 alternative transportation successes, funds generated, etc.

VDOT Bicycle Advisory Committee

Description: Serve as the liaison and participant to 1) the VDOT Bicycle Advisory Committee, managed by John Bolecek, VA Statewide Bicycle and Pedestrian Planner; and 2) VA Bicycle Federation, on request of Champe Burnley, President. Participation in these statewide bike/pedestrian committees ensures that Region 2000 is represented and abreast of key bicycling and pedestrian programs within VA.
Product: Summary of participation activities, results, liaison activities as presented to TTC, CVMPO, Greenway Alliance, etc.

Alternative Transportation Webinar Series

Description: Continue to host webinar series from bike/pedestrian leaders - such as APBP (Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals), League of American Bicyclists, PBIC (Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center), and America Walks – to serve as a learning and information tool to local planners, locality staff, and general public. Webinar series will continue to provide free and easily accessible CM and CEU credits for local planners and engineers.

Product: Summary of webinars held, participants, and CM/CEU credits dispersed as result of webinars.

Delivery Date: June, 2016

Town of Amherst – Main Street Bike Lane Feasibility Study

Description: The Town of Amherst completed and approved the Town of Amherst Pedestrian and Bicycle Plan in 2009. This plan was developed to identify opportunities to expand safe pedestrian travel and introduce safe bicycling options in the community. Establishing on-road bicycle accommodation along Main Street, the Town’s primary transportation linkage, was a recognized goal and implementation opportunity given the width of the street.

This project will involve pulling together all of the appropriate stakeholders, VDOT and Town representatives, together to consider accommodation opportunities. Discussion will include considerations of speed limit along portion of South Main Street, currently 45 mph, and on-road accommodation options – bike lane, sharrows, share the road signs – given speed, road width, and on-street parking.

Product: Main Street Bicycle Accommodation Plan

Budget: $21,000: staff
Completion Date: June, 2016

3.03 Consultant Support

Rt. 811 Corridor Study

Description: The following describes the approach to the Rt. 811 Corridor Study. This effort is in support of the ongoing Bedford County community planning and development efforts.
Route 811, Thomas Jefferson Road, is a major north/south roadway providing access to schools, recreational fields, and neighborhoods in eastern Bedford County. The road provides an important connection between Route 460 and Route 221 in Forest.

The corridor is largely a two lane rural typical section, though does have some three lane sections and most of the major intersections are improved to include left and/or right turn lanes. Per recent VDOT data, the traffic volumes on Route 811 between Route 460 and Route 221 range from approximately 6,000 vehicles per day (VPD) to 15,000 VPD. The highest volumes and majority of the congestion occurs north of Waterlick Road. A volume summary, per 2013 VDOT data, is as follows.
- 6,000 VPD north of Rt. 460
- 5,700 VPD north of Bellevue Road
- 14,000 VPD north of Waterlick Road
- 15,000 VPD north of Everett Road
- 13,000 VPD north of Bateman Bridge Road

A proposed study of this corridor would focus on traffic operations in the 2.6 mile section between Turkey Foot Road and Route 221 (Forest Road). The traffic volumes in this section of the corridor are approaching those that typically require a four lane facility and/or additional lanes at major intersections. The existing volumes are significantly less in the southern portion of the corridor and well within the available capacity of the existing two lane facility. Thus, the recommended study limits have been established to address the section of the corridor, north of Turkeyfoot Road that is currently experiencing congested travel conditions and may likely have volumes exceeding capacity within the planning horizon to be addressed in the study.

The goal of the study would be to identify traffic operational and geometric needs as required to reduce congestion and provide necessary capacity for the next 20 years. Recommendations for improvements will address capacity needs, safety, and the community’s vision for multimodal accommodations. In examining the future need for
increased travel capacity, the analysis will focus on improving the existing corridor. However, one possible finding of the analysis could be that an alternative, or complimenting, solution to providing needed travel capacity is via a new road on new alignment, or improved nearby corridor. The study will address the comparison of benefits at a high level, though a detailed alignment alternatives study will not be part of this study effort.

Along the corridor, the abutting land uses are largely non-commercial. Access management will be addressed as part of the study, though it is anticipated that the analysis will be more at a policy level relative to corridor capacity preservation. If the crash analyses indicates a direct concern due to site access conditions, then those concerns will be addressed in detail.

Study specifics include the following:

- Collection of existing turning movement counts and AM/PM Peak Hour Analyses for up to nine intersections, including Route 811 at:
  1. Turkey Foot Road
  2. Waterlick Road
  3. Everett Road
  4. Bateman Bridge Road
  5. Jefferson Way
  6. Patriot Place
  7. Burnbridge Road
  8. Route 221
  9. TBD

- Crash analysis for the corridor, between Turkey Foot Road and Route 221
- Assessment of multimodal conditions and needs
- Assessment of access management concerns and policy considerations
- Identification and analysis of future horizon year traffic volumes
- Comparison of benefits of improving the existing corridor versus pursuing improvements on an alternate alignment
- Two public meetings
- Three presentations to the Board of Supervisors / Planning Commission
- Planning level costing of identified projects
- Benefit /Cost calculations per Highway Safety Improvement Program requirements
- Draft and Final reporting
Product: Draft plan documenting the effort.

**Response to MAP-21 and HB2 and Its Project Prioritization Initiative**

Description: Consultant support may be needed to support CVMPO response to MAP-21 and HB2 and its requirement to prioritize projects within the Commonwealth. This item allows for this support, if needed.

Product: Summary of support provided, if any.

Budget: $50,000; Consultant
Completion Date: June, 2016

**3.04 Environmental Justice**

Description: Ongoing public consultation and public participation to provide guidance in transportation planning. Our planning process should be inclusive and work to fairly allocate benefits to concentrations of poverty, minority, disability, limited English proficiency or any other federally protected groups.

In order to ensure compliance and enhancement of Environmental Justice and Title VI regulations the CVMPO will report as required to VDOT’s Civil Rights Division regarding the CVMPO’s activities and practices.

Products: Documented Environmental Justice and Title VI response to VDOT’s Civil Rights Division, as requested.

Budget: $1,000; staff
Completion Date: June, 2016
SECTION II

FTA, VDRPT, CVMPO FUNDED ACTIVITIES

44.21.00 Program Support and Administration

Description: Region 2000 Staff will assist in ongoing activities that ensure proper management and operation of a continuing, comprehensive, and coordinated (3-C) planning process. The primary objectives of this task are to implement the FY 2012 UPWP throughout the fiscal year; provide all required administrative functions, including all accounting, personnel, contract, and office administration; and to support the activities of the CVMPO through preparation of agendas, attendance and scheduling meetings, reports, minutes, and other duties as needed for the CVMPO board and subcommittees. This task allows for amendments to the current UPWP and preparation of the FY 2017 UPWP. Additionally, this task will allow for participation in the Community Health Action and Response Team, promoting alternative transportation that can access transit.

Product: Efficient office operation, accurate financial information, preparation of quarterly reports and billing, as well as the various direct and indirect supporting roles to the CVMPO; amendments to the UPWP; and preparation of the FY 2017 UPWP. Participation in the Community Health Action and Response Team.

Budget: $34,475
Completion Date: June 30, 2016

44.22.00 General Development and Comprehensive Planning

Description: This item allows for ongoing transportation planning support services for GLTC and the CVMPO. The CVMPO will provide data gathering and analysis resources as requested and will be available to assist any regional transit agency in their planning efforts. The items in this section are geared toward increasing the safety, security, and accessibility of the transportation system to motorized and non-motorized users. The items are also meant to enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system. Lastly, all objectives are geared toward promoting efficient system operation and management.

Tasks:

1. Provide the major public transit agency in our area—GLTC—with the planning assistance associated with keeping the bus stop and route database current. Keeping a current inventory of bus stops with their corresponding attributes will promote
the overall efficiency of system operations and management. The overall objective of this planning effort is to provide a current list of the bus stops in the Geographical Information System to improve overall transit efficiency. This item is essential in providing “Routematch”—the company that houses GLTC’s bus stop data in a real-time viewing mode—with accurate information which riders can use to determine when the next bus is approaching. The data and associated attributes can also be uploaded to Google Transit to reflect current conditions. The data will also be used by the City of Lynchburg’s GIS department.

2. The Greater Lynchburg Transit Company (GLTC) is required to do a comprehensive ridership survey at least once every 6-year TDP cycle. The purpose of this survey is to gather updated travel behavior data from transit users in the GLTC service area. The procedures of the survey are outlined by the FTA and take 1 year to complete. CVMPO staff will assist GLTC in the data collection and analysis of survey responses.

3. CVMPO staff will use the methodology from the “Bus Stop Consolidation Study” (including ADA compliance) completed in FY2011 to determine if stops along time constrained routes could be consolidated. The overall objective of this planning effort is to provide a list of bus stops that could be consolidated on time constrained routes in order to improve overall transit efficiency.

4. The Transit Development Plan (TDP) is scheduled to be updated in FY16. CVMPO staff will aid GLTC with plan review, analysis and data requests required throughout the update process. This task will lead to the overall efficiency of systems operations and management of transit in the region.

Products:

- A current database of GLTC stops will be sent to the City of Lynchburg’s GIS department on a bi-annual basis (March/September).
- Quarterly reports to GLTC showing accurate fixed route system data that can be sent to “Routematch” and Google Transit.
- An up to date bus stop database to be maintained by CVMPO staff.
- Completed on-board ridership survey findings document.
- A document with a proposed list of consolidated bus stops for time constrained routes.
- Produce short-range planning reports for GLTC as requested.

Budget Total: $77,043
Completion Date: June 30, 2016
44.23.01 Long Range Transportation Plan Update

Description: This task allows for the update to the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan, Year 2040. The Plan is scheduled to be updated by the fall of 2015.

Region 2000 Staff will oversee the review, explanation, and update to any projects on the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan, Year 2035 in the event that a project needs to be updated before the five year update. This task will also provide for explanations of the plan to interested parties such as CVMPO Board members and citizens. The section of the LRTP depicting transit will also be monitored and updated as needed in order to give a current assessment of transit in the area.

Products:
- Public Assistance and information, as well as preparation for any updates that are necessary
- Continued development of the long range plan update
- Continued maintenance and updates to the Year 2035 Plan

Budget: $1,700
Completion Date: June 30, 2016

44.25.00 Transportation Improvement Program

Description: Region 2000 Staff will oversee the maintenance of the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), preparation of TIP amendments, and intergovernmental reviews of transportation projects. This task is justified because it keeps the TIP up to date. Any TIP project that is transit related—including bicycle and pedestrian facilities leading to public transit—will be updated as needed. The TIP program supports the economic vitality of the metropolitan area by enabling productivity and efficiency.

Products: Approved TIP, updated TIP maps, TIP amendments, and intergovernmental review of transportation projects.

Budget: $1,700
Completion Date: June 30, 2016
## Proposed Expenditures FY '16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FHWA</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>FTA5303</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.00 Administration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01 General Admin. &amp; Oper.</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$27,580</td>
<td>$3,448</td>
<td>$3,448</td>
<td>$34,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02 Work Prog. Admin.</td>
<td>$25,200</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.00 Long Range Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.01 Long Range Trans. Plan</td>
<td>$44,800</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$1,360</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.02 Transportation Imp. Prog.</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$1,360</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.00 Short Range Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.01 General Development and Comprehensive</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.02 Alternative Transportation</td>
<td>$16,800</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.03 Consultant Support</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.04 Environmental Justice</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$214,769</strong></td>
<td><strong>$114,918</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following notes relate to VDOT funding arrangements. These funds are not controlled by the CVMPO:

**Surface Planning and Research (SPR) FUNDING FOR VDOT STAFF SUPPORT**

**Activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrat</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Transportation Planning</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VDOT’s Transportation and Mobility Division (TMPO), located in the Central Office, will provide statewide oversight, guidance, and support for the federally mandated Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming Process. TMPO will provide technical assistance to VDOT Planning Managers, local jurisdictions, regional agencies and various divisions within VDOT, in the development of transportation planning documents for the MPO areas. TMPO will participate in special studies as requested.

FY-16 Funding Available Statewide: $150,000
EXPLANATORY NOTE REGARDING USDOT PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS

The USDOT has identified three planning emphasis areas: MAP-21 Implementation, Regional Models of Cooperation, and Ladders of Opportunities. The CVMPO’s UPWP FY 2016 addresses these areas as follows.

MAP-21 Implementation: The CVMPO is currently updating its Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan Year 2040 (Plan). Preparing for MAP-21 and its performance measures, i.e. making the Plan ‘MAP-21’ ready, is a key element to this effort. The Plan will be updated in FY 2016.

Regional Models of Cooperation: The CVMPO coordinates its planning efforts with the Greater Lynchburg Transit Company as well as the Region 2000 Local Government Council, the body responsible for rural transportation planning. GLTC operating practices are a factor in the development of the Plan’s project prioritization efforts. Further, GLTC staff sit on the Transportation Technical Committee, ensuring coordination of activities. Additionally, the Region 2000 Long Range Transportation Plan (Rural Plan) is a background document used in the Plan’s development, ensuring coordination between the two geographic areas. Region 2000 Local Government Council staff will begin updating the Rural Plan in Fiscal 2016. Council staff also staff the CVMPO. This arrangement further ensures coordination between the our urban and rural areas on an ongoing basis.

Ladders of Opportunity: The CVMPO’s UPWP directs significant resources to alternative transportation. This targeted effort promotes improving safe bicycle and pedestrian access throughout our community. Additionally, the UPWP directs funding to GLTC planning efforts (undertaken by CVMPO staff), including analysis of bus stops (ADA accessibility is a factor in this analysis) and undertaking a ridership survey to support ongoing system improvement efforts.
RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2016
UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)

WHEREAS, the staff of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization (CVMPO) has prepared the annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for fiscal year 2016; and,

WHEREAS, the UPWP has been reviewed and recommended for approval by the Transportation Technical Committee; and,

WHEREAS, this UPWP is now before the CVMPO for approval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT that the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization does hereby approve the UPWP for Fiscal Year 2016 and authorizes it to be submitted to the Virginia Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, the Virginia Department of Rails and Public Transportation, and the Federal Transit Administration.

ADOPTED this 16th day of April, 2015 by the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization.

ATTESTED BY: 
Gary F. Christie, Secretary  
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization

CERTIFIED BY:  
Stan Goldsmith, Chair  
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FOR GRANTS OF FEDERAL FUNDS UNDER FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 5303 PROGRAM AND STATE MATCHING FUNDS

WHEREAS, the contract for financial assistance will impose certain obligations upon this Body, including the provisions of the local funds to support project costs; and,

WHEREAS, a recipient of Federal Transit Administration Funding is required to provide certifications and assurances that all pertinent Federal statutes, regulations, executive orders and directives will be obeyed and it is the intent of this Body to comply fully with all required certifications and assurances; and,

WHEREAS, it is the goal of this Body that minority business enterprises (disadvantaged business enterprise and women business enterprise) be utilized to the fullest extent possible in connection with this project, and that definitive procedures shall be established and administered to ensure that minority businesses shall have the maximum feasible opportunity to compete for contracts and purchase orders when procuring construction contracts, supplies, equipment contracts, or consultant and other services:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

1. That Gary F. Christie, Secretary is authorized to prepare and file an application on behalf of Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization with the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation for federal and state financial assistance under the Federal Transit Administration Section 5303 Program and State Aid Program.

2. That Gary F. Christie, Secretary is authorized to execute and file with such application all necessary certifications and assurances or any other documents or information required by Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation in connection with the application or the project.
3. That Gary F. Christie, Secretary is authorized to set forth and execute Minority business enterprise (disadvantaged enterprise business and woman enterprise) policies and procedures in connection with procurements under this project.

4. That Gary F. Christie, Secretary is authorized to execute a grant agreement on behalf of Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization with the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation to aid in the financing of the project.

5. That THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION hereby certifies that the local share of the project costs identified in the application shall be made available to the project from resources available to this body.

The undersigned, Gary F. Christie, Secretary certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution, adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization held on April 16, 2015.

ATTESTED BY:                  CERTIFIED BY:
______________________________  ______________________________
Gary F. Christie, Secretary         Stan Goldsmith, Chair
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization
Mr. Gary Christie  
Executive Director  
Region 2000 Planning District Commission  
828 Main Street, 12th Floor  
Lynchburg, VA 24504

Dear Mr. Christie,

The Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living (CVACL) is seeking capital assistance from the Commonwealth of Virginia through the FTA Section 5310 program to purchase transportation equipment. As part of the application process, we are required to notify our Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization and request that the project be included in the annual element of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). CVACL is requesting funding to purchase two (2) handicap accessible mini-vans and two (2) 14-passenger Body on chassis vans with lift. The total cost of the project is approximately $160,000. The federal grant is for 80 percent of the total cost with the agency being responsible for the 20 percent balance.

Our plan is to increase and replace aging rolling stock that currently provides demand responsive transportation for our elderly and disabled clients in the Central Virginia Planning District 11 area to get to agency-related activities, medical appointments, grocery stores, and other destinations.

Please send documentation, by February 2, 2015, that the project has been or will be included in the TIP to the address listed below:

Public Transportation Division  
Department of Rail and Public Transportation Division  
600 East Main Street, Suite 2102  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

This information is required for our application to be considered for approval. I appreciate your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions about our proposal, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Young  
Director of Transportation
January 13, 2015

Mr. Gary Christie
Executive Director
Region 2000 Planning District Commission
828 Main Street, 12th Floor
Lynchburg, VA 24504

Dear Mr. Christie,

The Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living, Inc. (CVACL) is seeking capital assistance from the Commonwealth of Virginia through the FTA Section 5317 program to provide operating expenses for our New Freedom transportation program. As part of the application process, we are required to notify our Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization and request that the project be included in the annual element of the Transportation Improvement Program. CVACL is requesting funding to supplement operating expenses for the transportation of disabled individuals to necessary appointments. The total cost of the project is approximately $89,000. The federal grant is for 90 percent of the total cost with the agency being responsible for the 10 percent balance.

Our plan is to increase transportation opportunities for disabled clients in the Central Virginia Planning District 11 area to get to agency-related activities, medical appointments, grocery stores, and other desired destinations.

Please send documentation that the project has been included in the TIP to:

Public Transportation Division
Department of Rail and Public Transportation Division
600 East Main Street, Suite 2102
Richmond, Virginia 23219

This information is required for our application to be considered for approval. If you have any questions about our proposal, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Young
Director of Transportation

The local Area Agency on Aging serving the 11th Planning District of Virginia.
December 23, 2014

Mr. Gary Christie
Executive Director
Region 2000
828 Main Street, 12th Floor
Lynchburg, VA 24504

Dear Mr. Christie,

Heart Havens, Inc. is seeking capital assistance from the Commonwealth of Virginia through the FTA Section 5310 program to purchase transportation equipment. As part of the application process, we are required to notify our Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and request that the project be included in the annual element of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Heart Havens is requesting funding to purchase three 9-passenger vans with handicap-accessible lifts to support individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities living in our homes in Lynchburg, Richmond and Virginia Beach. The total cost of this project is approximately $105,000 (3 vans @ $35,000 each). The federal grant is for 80% of the total cost with our agency being responsible for the 20 percent balance.

We did apply for a van last year in our 2015 application; however there were no 9 passenger vans available and the mini-van would not accommodate the 5 people living in the Lynchburg home.

We are also required to notify our Regional Planning District Commissions (PDC) and request an intergovernmental review of our grant request. So we ask that this one letter, fulfill both requests, since you lead both organizations.

We ask that you as part of the MPO, please send documentation that the project has been included in the TIP to the address listed below:

Public Transportation Division
Department of Rail and Public Transportation Division
600 East Main Street, Suite 2102
Richmond, Virginia 23219

This information is required for our application to be considered for approval and we appreciate your assistance.
This information is required for our application to be considered for approval and we appreciate your assistance.

Heart Havens empowers adults with intellectual disabilities to live and thrive in a safe and nurturing environment. Our goal is to provide safe and convenient transportation for our clients, especially as they age and experience additional physical challenges. We provide daily transportation to those individuals living in our homes to and from training, jobs, community programs, grocery stores, medical appointments and other opportunities for community integration.

I have included some information about our organization with this letter, so that you may better know who we are and what we do. You may also visit our website at: www.hearthavens.org

Thank you for your assistance with this project. If you have any questions about our proposal, please contact me at: 804 237 6097 or Jennifer.Boyden@hearthavens.org.

Sincerely yours,

Jennifer Boyden
Jennifer Boyden, MA, QIDP
Chief Executive Officer

Cc: John Mahoney
What we do

Established in 1996 by The United Methodist Church and obtaining its own 501(C)3 status in 2003, Heart Havens is a premier provider of residential services for adults with intellectual disabilities in Virginia.

Why

Over 17,000 adults with intellectual disabilities live in Virginia with parents over the age of sixty.

More than 5,600 are on waiting lists for housing and services.

Over 3,056 are in urgent need of residential services.

Contact

Referrals & inquiries please contact Heart Havens at:
Main: 804.237.6097
Toll free: 1.877.442.8368
Fax: 804.237.6098
Web: www.hearthavens.org

Heart Havens homes are nestled in neighborhoods across Virginia. Individuals live as independently as possible among their neighbors, with access to all the resources the community has to offer, including stimulating educational activities, sports programs and local events. Relationships within the community play an important role in ensuring that individuals interact socially with a diverse population.

Using a person centered approach, highly trained staff are on site 24 hours a day to support 4-5 individuals in the home. Individuals work toward developing new skills and fulfilling their goals and dreams, creating a family atmosphere of mutual respect and teamwork.

“I wouldn’t have any other neighbors. Not only are the people a joy, but the Men of The United Methodist Church and the families of the Heart Havens men keep the property looking beautiful at all times.”

-Mike and Bonnie (Heart Havens neighbors)
Heart Havens

Welcome to Heart Havens

Get involved!

- Donate
- Volunteer
- Adopt a home
- Tour a Heart Havens home
- Visit with residents of Heart Havens
- Invite a Heart Havens representative
- Paper products, toiletries or
- Matching gifts
- Planned giving
- Cash gift

804.237.6097
info@hearthavens.org

For more information contact

804.237.6097
www.hearthavens.org
Richmond, VA 23236
812 Moorfield Park Drive #301
THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION’S SUPPORT OF THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA ALLIANCE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING, INC. AND HEART HAVENS’ TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) AMENDMENT REQUESTS

WHEREAS, the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization adopted the FY 2015-2018, Transportation Improvement Program (Amended) on May 15, 2014; and,

WHEREAS, the Central Virginia Alliance for Community Living, Inc. (CVACL) is seeking capital assistance from the Commonwealth of Virginia through the FTA Section 5310 program to purchase transportation equipment, and through the FTA Section 5317 program for New Freedom operating expenses; and,

WHEREAS, the CVACL FTA 5310 funding request is to purchase two handicap accessible minivans and two 14-passenger vans with lift. The total cost is approximately $160,000 of which eighty percent will be federal funds and twenty percent will be CVACL’s responsibility; and,

WHEREAS, this capital purchase will allow CVACL to increase and replace aging rolling stock that currently provides responsive transportation for elderly and disabled clients in the Central Virginia area; and,

WHEREAS, the CVACL’s FTA 5317 funding request is to supplement operating expenses for its New Freedom program, and the total cost is approximately $89,000 of which ninety percent will be federal funds and ten percent is agency funds; and,

WHEREAS, this operating support will allow for transportation of disabled individuals for necessary appointments; and,

WHEREAS, Heart Havens is seeking capital assistance through the FTA Section 5310 program to purchase transportation equipment; and

WHEREAS, Heart Havens’ FTA 5310 request is to purchase three 9-passenger vans with handicapped-accessible lifts; the total cost is approximately $105,000 of which eighty percent will be federal funds and twenty percent will be Heart Havens responsibility; and

WHEREAS, this capital assistance will allow Heart Havens to support it transportation services to its intellectually and developmentally disabled clients.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT that the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization does hereby support and approve the amendment of the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program FY 2015-2018 to include the CVACL’s request for FTA Section 5310 program assistance to purchase two handicap accessible mini-vans and two 14-passenger vans with lift with a total cost of $160,000 (eighty percent federal funds, and twenty percent agency funds) and for FTA 5317 program assistance to supplement New Freedom program operating expenses with a cost totaling $89,000 (ninety percent federal funds and ten percent CVACL funds); and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization does hereby support and approve the amendment of the Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program FY 2015-2018 to include Heart Havens’ request for FTA Section 5310 program assistance to purchase three 9-passenger vans with handicapped-accessible lifts, with a total cost of $105,000, eighty percent federal funds and twenty percent Heart Haven funds.

ADOPTED this 16th day of April, 2015 by the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization.

ATTESTED BY: 

Gary F. Christie, Secretary
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization

CERTIFIED BY: 

Stan Goldsmith, Chair
Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization
Briefing Materials
for CVMPO Board Review

April 16, 2015
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Part 1- Draft Project Evaluation Results and Priority Project Identification

**Deliverable:** Presentation, Table and Map of Results

**Purpose:** To share the results generated by the CVLRTP project evaluation tool, including the identification of a proposed grouping of the region’s priority projects.

**MPO Action Requested:** Confirm the priority project list and/or offer concerns or suggestions for its improvement.

**Future Steps:** The project list can be updated if new projects are identified or if a proposed project scope is modified. The final priority project list, the scope of which will depend on an official VDOT funding projection, will be updated and presented for board review after the 25 year funding projections are announced.

**A. Introduction & Purpose**

After creating a project evaluation tool that captures the region’s transportation priorities and then identifying a comprehensive list of the region’s vision projects, the study team has evaluated and ranked all of the proposed vision list projects. The Draft CVLRTP Evaluation Matrix can be found in Appendix 1. Score sheets recording all of the information used in this evaluation were created for every project, examples of which can be seen in Appendices 2 and 3. The complete ranking of projects by benefit score can be found in Appendix 4.

Next, the study team addressed the question of how the results could be used to identify the region’s top-priority projects using all three evaluation categories: Benefit Score, Benefit-Cost Score, and Readiness Score. It was decided to rank all of the projects within each category and then calculate the average of the three rankings. The project list was then reordered according to this average ranking.

Finally, in order to identify a draft priority/constrained project list, an estimated funding surplus of $125,000,000 was used to demonstrate a potential project group. This amount serves as a reasonable funding estimate, but will be updated upon receiving the official estimate from VDOT. The draft priority project list of 11 projects can be found on the next page (page 3) of this briefing package, along with a map of the project locations on page 4. The MPO Board is asked to review this list and offer feedback regarding any changes that may need to be made.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Rte #</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Benefit Score</th>
<th>Benefit-Cost Rating</th>
<th>Readiness Score</th>
<th>Benefit Cost Score Rank</th>
<th>Benefit-Cost Score Rank</th>
<th>Readiness Score Rank</th>
<th>Average Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Forest Rd</td>
<td>Rt 1483 (Cloverhill Blvd)</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Cottontown Rd)</td>
<td>Evaluate and update signal timing</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>460/29</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Campbell Ave)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Monocan Plwy)</td>
<td>Safety/Traffic Ops/TSM</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>$19,092,158</td>
<td>81.90</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Forest Rd</td>
<td>Rt 1426 (Gristmill Dr)</td>
<td>Rt 126 (Graves Mill Rd)</td>
<td>Access Management and intersection improvements</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$3,140,000</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>Timberlake Rd</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Walterick Rd)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Median &amp; turn lane improvements, add lanes</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$2,344,000</td>
<td>78.81</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>S of Rt 221</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Northwest Expwy)</td>
<td>New 4 lane roadway (one way pairs)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$37,383,000</td>
<td>83.98</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>Rt 788 (English Tavori Rd)</td>
<td>Terminal Dr</td>
<td>Access Management</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>77.11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>South Amherst Highway</td>
<td>Rt 163 (Amherst St)</td>
<td>Rt 604 (S. Coolwell Rd)</td>
<td>Traffic Operations/Signal Coordination</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$1,625,500</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Candlers Mtn Rd)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$16,220,000</td>
<td>82.24</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Odd Fellows Rd</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$7,320,000</td>
<td>76.79</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>S of Rt 665 (Calahan Rd)</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Access Reg. Traffic Ops, Safety Improvements</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>71.95</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Candlers Mountain Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Lynchburg Expwy)</td>
<td>Widen to 6 lanes</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>$23,068,000</td>
<td>75.81</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Cost**: $125,192,658
Part 2- Upcoming Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable:</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>To update the MPO Board on important upcoming project events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Steps:</td>
<td>Specific meeting and delivery dates may change according to project status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The MPO will be notified of any significant changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Introduction & Purpose

Important upcoming events and expected dates for the CVLRTP planning process include the following:

May 2015
Completion of draft CVLRTP document

June 2015
Public and Stakeholder input regarding draft document

July 2015
Approval of the Central Virginia Long Range Transportation Plan 2040 Update
### Appendix 1: Draft CVLRTP Evaluation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobility and Accessibility:</strong> Provide a transportation system that facilitates the efficient movement of people and goods</td>
<td>Make it Flow, Make it Accessible</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety:</strong> Provide a safe and secure transportation system</td>
<td>Make it Safe</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economy:</strong> Retain and increase business and employment opportunities</td>
<td>Promote Vitality, Make it Efficient</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community and Nature:</strong> Improve the quality of life and protect the environment</td>
<td>Sustain Quality</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficiency:</strong> Preserve the existing transportation system and promote efficient system management</td>
<td>Make it Function, Coordinate Investments, Balance Priorities</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total | 100% |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Performance Factors</th>
<th>Weight Relative to Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobility and Accessibility:</strong> Provide a transportation system that facilitates the efficient movement of people and goods</td>
<td>A. 2040 Volume to Capacity Ratio</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. 2040 Weighted Flow Rate</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Truck Volume</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Alternative Transportation Facilities</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety:</strong> Provide a safe and secure transportation system</td>
<td>A. Accident Rate/Number of Accidents</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Safety Design Elements</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economy:</strong> Retain and increase business and employment opportunities</td>
<td>A. Economic Development Plans</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Regional Commuter Significance</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Surrounding Employment Density</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community and Nature:</strong> Improve the quality of life and protect the environment</td>
<td>A. Major Environmental Concerns</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Corridor Beautification</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Right of Way Needs</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficiency:</strong> Preserve the existing transportation system and promote efficient system management</td>
<td>A. Roadway Maintenance Status</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. VDOT Functional Roadway Class</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Coordination with State, Regional, and Local Plans</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Distribution of Benefits to Multiple Communities</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Priority Project #1 Score Sheet

**Project ID**: 1  
**Description**: Bedford  
**Jurisdiction**: Bedford  
**Route #**: 221  
**Facility Name**: Forest Rd  
**From**: Rt 1483 (Cloverhill Blvd)  
**To**: Rt 621 (Cottontown Rd)  
**Improvement**: Evaluate and update signal timing  
**Length (mi)**: 1.6  
**Estimated Cost**: $1,000,000

#### Proposed Project Features
- **Increases Vehicle Capacity**: Yes  
- **Alternative Transportation Facilities**: None  
- **Safety Improvements**: Primary: Traffic Operations  
- **Streetscaping**: No  

#### Data
- **2040 V/C**: 0.67**  
- **2040 Weighted Traffic Flow**: 372  
- **Accidents 2011-2013**: 145  
- **Top 20 Accident Rate**: Yes  
- **Functional Classification**: Urban Minor Arterial

#### Environmental Resource Score
- **Surrounding Employment Density**: 1-4 jobs per acre  
- **13**

#### Economic Development Plans
- **None**

#### Corridor of Regional or State Significance
- **Yes**

#### Plan Recommendations
- **Route 221 Corridor Study**

#### Safety
- **Concentration**: High  
- **Rating**: 100  
- **Weight**: 23%  
- **Score**: 23.0  

#### Traffic Flow
- **Rating**: 100  
- **Weight**: 61%  
- **Score**: 61.0

#### Freight Volume
- **Rating**: 33.3  
- **Weight**: 20%  
- **Score**: 6.7

#### Alternative Transportation
- **Rating**: 33.3  
- **Weight**: 20%  
- **Score**: 6.7

#### Benefiting Localities
- **Bedford, Lynchburg**

#### NEPA Screening
- **1**

#### Right of Way Acquisition
- **1**

#### Continuation of Ongoing Project
- **0**

#### Total Readiness Rating
- **MED**

#### Benefit to Cost Variables
- **Benefit Score**: 78.8  
- **Estimated Cost**: $1,000,000  
- **Estimated Users**: 35667  
- **Benefit to Cost Score**: 2810.70  
- **Benefit to Cost Rating**: High

#### Project Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobility and Accessibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Flow</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Volume</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Transportation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Safety</strong></th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safe Features</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Economy</strong></th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development Strategies</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Travel</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surounding Employment Density</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Community and Nature</strong></th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Environmental Resources</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscaping</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Sufficiency</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Efficiency</strong></th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Status</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Functional Classification</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Coordination</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of Benefits</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3: Priority Project #2 Score Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route #</td>
<td>460/29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Campbell Ave)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Monacan Pkwy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>Safety/Traffic Ops/TSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mi)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>$19,092,158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Proposed Project Features
- **Increases Vehicle Capacity**: Yes
- **Alternative Transportation Facilities**: None
- **Safety Improvements**: Primary: Safety Design
- **Streetscaping**: No

### Data
- **2040 V/C**: 0.92
- **2040 Weighted Traffic Flow**: 515
- **Truck Volume**: 6.54%
- **Accidents 2011-2013**: 56
- **Top 20 Accident Rate**: Yes
- **Functional Classification**: Urban Other Principle Arterial
- **Surrounding Employment Density**: Less than 1 job per acre
- **Environmental Resource Score**: 12

### Economic Development Significance
- **Economic Development Plans**: Region 2000 CEDS
- **Corridor of Regional or State Significance**: Yes
- **Primary Commuter Road**: Yes
- **Benefiting Localities**: Regional
- **Plan Recommendations**: Region 2000 CEDS

### Project Readiness
- **NEPA Screening**: 1
- **Right of Way Acquisition**: 1
- **Continuation of Ongoing Project**: 0
- **Total Readiness Rating**: Med

### Benefit to Cost Variables
- **Benefit Score**: 81.9
- **Estimated Cost**: $19,092,158
- **Estimated Users**: 49,437
- **Benefit to Cost Score**: 212.06
- **Benefit to Cost Rating**: High

## Project Evaluation

### Scoring Summary

#### Theme: Mobility and Accessibility
- **Rating Points**: 74.3
- **Weight**: 20%
- **Score**: 14.9

#### Theme: Safety
- **Rating Points**: 100.0
- **Weight**: 25%
- **Score**: 25.0

#### Theme: Community and Nature
- **Rating Points**: 80.0
- **Weight**: 15%
- **Score**: 12.0

#### Theme: Efficiency
- **Rating Points**: 68.0
- **Weight**: 15%
- **Score**: 10.2

### Total Project Benefit Score: 81.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobility and Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Safety Features
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 61%
- **Score**: 61.0

#### Economic Development Strategies
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 25%
- **Score**: 25.0

#### Community and Nature
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 30%
- **Score**: 30.0

#### Streetscaping
- **Rating Points**: 33.3
- **Weight**: 30%
- **Score**: 10.0

#### Right of Way Sufficiency
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 40%
- **Score**: 40.0

### Total Score: 80.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobility and Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Efficiency Variables
- **Rating Points**: 32%
- **Weight**: 32%
- **Score**: 0.0

#### State Functional Classification
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 10%
- **Score**: 10.0

#### Plan Coordination
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 27%
- **Score**: 27.0

#### Distribution of Benefits
- **Rating Points**: 100
- **Weight**: 31%
- **Score**: 31.0

### Total Score: 68.0
## Appendix 4: Draft Project Evaluation Results (Full)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Rte #</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Benefit Score</th>
<th>Benefit-Cost Rating</th>
<th>Readiness Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Candlers Mnt Rd)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$16,220,000</td>
<td>82.24</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>460/29</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Campbell Ave)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Monacan Pkwy)</td>
<td>Safety/Traffic Ops/TSM</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>$19,092,158</td>
<td>81.90</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>S of Rt 221</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Northwest Expwy)</td>
<td>New 4 lane roadway (one way pairs)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$37,383,000</td>
<td>80.98</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>Timberlake Rd</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Waterlick Rd)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Median &amp; turn lane improvements, add lanes</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$2,344,000</td>
<td>78.81</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Forest Rd</td>
<td>Rt 1483 (Cottertown Blvd)</td>
<td>Rt 621 (Cottertown Rd)</td>
<td>Evaluate and update signal timing</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Forest Rd</td>
<td>Rt 1426 (Gristmill Dr)</td>
<td>Rt 126 (Graves Mill Rd)</td>
<td>Access Management and intersection improvements</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$3,140,000</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Lakeside Dr</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Lynchburg Expwy)</td>
<td>Forest Brook Rd</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$19,211,175</td>
<td>78.23</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>Rt 738 (English Tavern Rd)</td>
<td>Terminal Dr</td>
<td>Access Management</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>77.11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Odd Fellows Rd</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$7,320,000</td>
<td>76.79</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Candlers Mountain Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Lynchburg Expwy)</td>
<td>Widen to 6 lanes</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>$23,068,000</td>
<td>75.81</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>South Amherst Highway</td>
<td>Rt 163 (Amherst St)</td>
<td>Rt 604 (S Coolwell Rd)</td>
<td>Traffic Operations/Signal Coordination</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>$1,625,500</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>460/29</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Campbell Ave)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Monacan Pkwy)</td>
<td>Widen to 6 lane limited access highway</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>$39,927,900</td>
<td>74.47</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Campbell/Lynchburg</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>Richmond Hwy</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Waterlick Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 501 (Campbell Ave)</td>
<td>Increase to 6 lanes</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>$173,356,000</td>
<td>72.31</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>S of Rt 685 (Calahan Rd)</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Access mgmt, traffic ops, safety improvements</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>71.95</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Kemper St/ Campbell Ave</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$14,900,000</td>
<td>71.41</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Odd Fellows Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Lynchburg Expwy)</td>
<td>Roundabouts, bridge replacement, corridor balance</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$13,000,000</td>
<td>70.58</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>James St/ Stadium Rd</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$12,210,000</td>
<td>69.32</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Rt 29 Alt (East)</td>
<td>S of Rt 24</td>
<td>Rt 460</td>
<td>New 4 lane limited access facility</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$363,245,000</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>E Lynchburg Salem Tnpk</td>
<td>Rt 668 (Goode Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 811 (Thomas Jefferson)</td>
<td>Construct paved shldr in and access mgmt</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>Rt 685 (Calahan Rd)</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Widen road (Rural 6 lane w/ median)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>$63,784,500</td>
<td>68.14</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Rt 29 Alt (West)</td>
<td>S of Rt 24</td>
<td>Rt 460</td>
<td>New 4 lane limited access facility</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>$244,951,000</td>
<td>67.95</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Rt 221 (Lakeside Dr)</td>
<td>Rt 620 (Wiggington Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>$27,652,950</td>
<td>66.27</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>Waterlick Rd</td>
<td>Bedford Corp Limit</td>
<td>Rt 1520 (Rainbow Forest Dr)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$23,480,500</td>
<td>65.61</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Rte #</td>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>Benefit Score</td>
<td>Benefit-Cost Rating</td>
<td>Readiness Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>Old Candlers Mountain Rd</td>
<td>Rt 128 (Mayflower Dr)</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$17,283,000</td>
<td>65.53</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Downtown Streets</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Downtown Complete Streets</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>64.90</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wards Rd</td>
<td>Rt 24 (Colonial Hwy)</td>
<td>Rt 685 (Calhoan Rd)</td>
<td>Widen road (rural 6 lane with median)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$23,483,000</td>
<td>64.13</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>South Amherst Highway</td>
<td>Rt 163</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Reconstruct Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>64.11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Wards Ferry Rd</td>
<td>Harvard St</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Construct Roundabout</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td>64.08</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Main St/Church St</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
<td>62.74</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>Thomas Jefferson Rd</td>
<td>Rt 221 (Forest Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Waterlick Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$34,153,000</td>
<td>62.56</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Campbell Ave</td>
<td>Edmunds St</td>
<td>Florida Ave</td>
<td>Roundabouts, Road Diet, Rail to Trail</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$6,100,000</td>
<td>60.94</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Memorial Ave</td>
<td>NS Railroad</td>
<td>Langhorne Ave</td>
<td>Reconstruct road and ped, add streetscape</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>60.48</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Rt 606 W</td>
<td>MPO Boundary</td>
<td>2 lane improvements</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>$14,904,000</td>
<td>59.75</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lynchburg Expressway</td>
<td>Miller St/Robins Rd</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Improve Interchange</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>Waterlick Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Timberlake Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 682 (Leesville Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20,889,000</td>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>South Amherst Hwy</td>
<td>Rt 685 (River Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Bus)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes with bike lane</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$34,153,000</td>
<td>58.15</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>Waterlick Rd</td>
<td>Rt 811 (Thomas Jefferson)</td>
<td>Campbell Corp Limits</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$19,211,500</td>
<td>58.06</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Elon Rd</td>
<td>NS Railroad Tract</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Bus)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$40,556,500</td>
<td>55.18</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Rt 29 Bypass</td>
<td>Rt 606 W</td>
<td>2 lane improvements</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>$9,349,000</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>Leesville Rd</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$41,663,500</td>
<td>54.23</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Bypass</td>
<td>US 29 Bypass</td>
<td>Rt 663 (Izaak Walton Rd)</td>
<td>New Access Ramps</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Jackson St</td>
<td>Taylor St</td>
<td>Streetscape Improvements</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>Mt Athos Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Babcock and Wilcox</td>
<td>Upgrade existing 2 lane rd</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$13,614,000</td>
<td>51.78</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Taylor St</td>
<td>NS Bridge</td>
<td>Reconstruct road and ped, add streetscape</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>51.46</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Wards Ferry Rd</td>
<td>Atlanta Ave</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Add turn lanes</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$495,000</td>
<td>51.27</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>Thomas Jefferson Rd</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Waterlick Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 704 (Great Oak Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$49,095,000</td>
<td>50.51</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>Thomas Jefferson Rd</td>
<td>Rt 704 (Great Oak Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 460</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$25,615,000</td>
<td>50.51</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>Woody’s Lake Rd</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Bus)</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>Reconstruct Roadway</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$7,202,500</td>
<td>50.49</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Campbell Hwy</td>
<td>Rt 24 (Village Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 680 (Suburban Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 4 lanes</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$27,387,500</td>
<td>50.17</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>Dixie Airport Rd</td>
<td>Rt 699 (Amelon Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Galts Mill Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$10,255,500</td>
<td>48.89</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>Old Stage Rd</td>
<td>Rt 624 (Sweet Briar Ln)</td>
<td>London Ln</td>
<td>2 lane improvements</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$2,849,000</td>
<td>48.83</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Rte #</td>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>Benefit Score</td>
<td>Benefit-Cost Rating</td>
<td>Readiness Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Boonsboro Rd</td>
<td>Rt 647 (Winding Creek Ln)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Relocate intersection, construct turn lane</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>48.72</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>Sunburst Rd</td>
<td>Rt 460 (Richmond Hwy)</td>
<td>Rt 622 (Waterlick Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$21,736,000</td>
<td>48.64</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>Izark Walton Rd</td>
<td>Rt 130 (Glade Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 604 (S Coolwell Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>$25,651,000</td>
<td>48.25</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Lynchburg</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>New Road</td>
<td>Campbell Ave</td>
<td>Odd Fellows Rd</td>
<td>Construct new roadway</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>Cottontown Rd</td>
<td>Rt 662 (Hooper Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 660 (Hawkins Mill Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>$12,793,000</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>River Rd</td>
<td>Rt 130</td>
<td>NS Railroad Tracks</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$26,877,000</td>
<td>46.40</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>New Wright Shop Rd</td>
<td>Rt 210 (Colony Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 677 (Dale Airport Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$19,657,000</td>
<td>46.06</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>(new road)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Bus)</td>
<td>Fernwood Dr</td>
<td>New 2 lane connector road</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>$6,233,000</td>
<td>45.97</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>English Tavern Rd</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Wards Rd) - South int</td>
<td>Rt 680 (Suburban Rd)</td>
<td>Widen to 24 ft</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$10,032,000</td>
<td>45.06</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>River Rd</td>
<td>NS Railroad Tracks</td>
<td>Rt 163</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>$21,459,500</td>
<td>44.90</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>Turkey Foot Rd</td>
<td>Rt 811 (Thomas Jefferson)</td>
<td>Campbell Corp Limits</td>
<td>Widen pavement to 24 ft</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$9,030,000</td>
<td>44.02</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Colony Rd</td>
<td>Rt 163</td>
<td>Rt 1034</td>
<td>2 lane reconstruction with shoulder</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$2,815,000</td>
<td>43.80</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>English Tavern Rd</td>
<td>Rt 680 (Suburban Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 29 (Wards Rd) - North int</td>
<td>Widen to 24 ft</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$13,376,000</td>
<td>43.56</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>Winridge Rd</td>
<td>Rt 163</td>
<td>Rt 675</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$9,429,000</td>
<td>43.31</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>Cedar Gate Rd</td>
<td>Rt 657</td>
<td>Rt 675</td>
<td>2 lane reconstruction</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$6,272,000</td>
<td>41.96</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>Cottontown Rd</td>
<td>Rt 644 (Coffee Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 662 (Hooper Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$28,660,000</td>
<td>41.96</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>Coffee Rd</td>
<td>Rt 665N (Elk Valley Rd)</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>$45,140,500</td>
<td>41.35</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>Winesap Rd</td>
<td>Rt 652</td>
<td>Rt 795</td>
<td>Widen pavement to 22 ft</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$17,671,000</td>
<td>40.46</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>Everett Rd</td>
<td>Kensington Pkwy</td>
<td>Rt 646 (Gladden Cr)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$14,330,000</td>
<td>40.46</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>Hawkins Mill Rd</td>
<td>Rt 660 (Old Farm Rd)</td>
<td>Lynchburg Corp Limits</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>$9,783,000</td>
<td>39.96</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>Perrowville Rd</td>
<td>Rt 1341 (Qual Ridge Rd)</td>
<td>Rt 644 (Coffee Rd)</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2 lane roadway</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$15,047,000</td>
<td>38.47</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In April 2014 the Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment (OIPI) began work on VTrans2040, the Commonwealth’s guiding policy for long-range statewide transportation plans and programs. For the first time, VTrans2040 will include two complementary documents. First, the VTrans2040 Vision document, which includes an updated Vision, Guiding Principles, Goals, and Objectives. The Vision document reflects the priorities and concerns of the Administration and includes the input we’ve received from you and other stakeholders across the Commonwealth. This material has been presented in draft to the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) and will be available for public review soon.

Second, the VTrans2040 Multimodal Transportation Plan (VMTP) will identify the multimodal transportation needs and recommendations for 2025, as well as a discussion of potential future scenarios for 2040. It will replace the 2035 Virginia Surface Transportation Plan, which focused on highway and transit systems but did not address other modes.

The VMTP will provide a truly multimodal needs analysis, including a statewide assessment of multimodal system maintenance and safety needs based on recently completed agency analyses, and an assessment of 2025 projected operational and capacity needs for Corridors of Statewide Significance, Regional Networks and Urban Development Areas. Note that this needs assessment is a critical input to the HB2 project rating process per the 2014 legislation. At this time, we are kicking off the second phase of the VTrans2040 process and plan to start collecting data and gathering your input to better inform the final VMTP.

As shown in the project schedule on the following page, the development of the VMTP Needs Assessment includes a constant and consistent outreach effort to ensure that our planning partners across the Commonwealth are working closely with us to develop a vision for the future of transportation. These outreach efforts will ensure that each region’s unique characteristics are specifically incorporated into the final VMTP. More information regarding the scenario development for 2040 will be forthcoming in a later edition of the newsletter.
Urban Development Area: Planning stakeholders should expect to receive a notification for a webinar regarding the UDA research and identification work completed by OIPI, as well as hear stories from communities who have successfully implemented UDAs. This webinar will be in late March. If you represent a locality that has a UDA or 'UDA like' area that you plan to designate as a UDA, please expect a survey in April that will be used as the basis for the UDA needs assessment.

Corridor of Statewide Significance: The primary needs assessment for the CoSS will be conducted at the state level. There will be opportunities at both of the regional forum meetings in May and July to provide input on and determine the process for developing those needs.

Regional Networks: Defining the regional network profiles and needs will be a collaborative process with the MPOs. If you are in an MPO, be expecting a call within the next 2 weeks to begin discussing the methodology and data needs with the VTrans team. We will meet monthly with the MPOs to develop these needs, with two of those meetings being held in conjunction with the larger statewide forums that include all transportation planners and providers.

Statewide Safety and State of Good Repair Needs: The Statewide Needs Assessment will include Safety and State of Good Repair considerations. These needs will be shared at the first two MPO work sessions and at the first Statewide Forum for all planners and providers.

### Virginia Multimodal Transportation Plan - Work Flow and Key Input Opportunities -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SoGR Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity/Operations Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Network Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSS Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDA Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Capacity Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Capacity Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- In-Person Work Session with MPOs
- UDA Specific Outreach - Webinar in March and Needs Survey in April for Localities with UDAs

- Statewide Regional Forums w/ All Planners and Providers of Transportation Services
- Statewide Public Meetings

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type of Change</th>
<th>UPC</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/15/2014</td>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>The Central Virginia Transportation Improvement Program (CVTIP) FRY2015-2018 has been reformatted from the CVTOP FY2012-2015. Project descriptions and associated funding allocations in the CVTIP FY2015-2018 supercede those in the CVTOP FY2012-2015 as well as any associated adjustments and amendments.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/2014</td>
<td>Amendment</td>
<td>106533 106537</td>
<td>These amendments to the funding of the Odd Fellows Road Interchange and Greenview Drive Projects are related to Debt Service additions based upon the use of Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles (GARVEE) Bonds being used to fund the projects.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/16/2014</td>
<td>Amendment</td>
<td>105610 105609</td>
<td>GLTC requested an MPO amendment to Section 4 of the Central Virginia Metropolitan Organization’s Transportation Improvement Program FY15-18 (CVTIP hereafter) in order to properly align funding with current capital needs. This amendment also proposes the addition of two rail projects. Currently there is no Construction: Rail grouping for Lynchburg in the STIP.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
March 23, 2015

Secretary Aubrey L. Layne, Jr.
Secretary of Transportation for the Commonwealth of Virginia
P.O. Box 1475
Richmond, VA 23218

Dear Secretary Layne:

The Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization held a scheduled meeting on March 19, 2015. An important point of discussion was the ongoing development of the statewide transportation project prioritization effort, commonly referred to as HB2. The CVMPO, at the conclusion of its discussion, moved the following items be provided to you and the Commonwealth Transportation Board:

1. Metropolitan Planning Organizations should be given deference in determining their weighting percentages for the evaluation factors set forth by HB2, as the CTB develops its prioritization process; the four categories proposed by the CTB at this time are insufficient for describing and evaluating our regional priorities;
2. MPOs should have the opportunity to reset their priorities, periodically, as circumstances within their respective regions change over time; and
3. The weighting recommendations proposed by the CVMPO’s Transportation Technical Committee should be used for scoring projects in our area, as they most accurately reflect our region’s priorities. These recommendations generally relate to the HB2 factors as follows:

   Economic Development 25%
   Safety 25%
   Congestion Mitigation 20%
   Accessibility 15%
   Environmental Quality 15%

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this most important statewide initiative. Please advise if you have any questions or thoughts.

Sincerely,

Robert E. White, AICP
Deputy Director

Cc: Nick Donohue, Deputy Secretary of Transportation, Commonwealth of Virginia
    Shannon Valentine, Member, Commonwealth Transportation Board
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